

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/431,469	ARMISTEAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

**All Participants:**

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Li Su.

**Status of Application:** after-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd

Claims discussed:

37-44

Prior art documents discussed:

none


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 9/21/04

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms. Su that the after-final amendment and arguments filed 9/9/04 had been considered but would not be entered as the amendment to the claims introduces a new issue requiring further consideration. The examiner stated that the new sets of amino acids recited in the amended claims did appear to be supported by Appendix 1, but upon CURSORY review, seemed to be a subset of the amino acids disclosed in App. 1, and therefore may be new matter. The examiner reminded Ms. Su that the claims also remain rejected under 112, 2nd.